

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| A PRI I CATIONANO   |                |                      | T                       |                  |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 09/532,059  | 03/21/2000     | Chester W. Williams  | 500695.01               | 6811             |
| 7:  | 590 06/06/2003 |                      |                         |                  |
| DALE C. BARR, ESQ.  |                |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| BLACK LOWE & GRAHAM<br>816 SECOND AVENUE<br>SEATTLE, WA 98104 |                |                      | NGUYEN, CHI Q           |                  |
| SERTILE, WI   | 1 70104        |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                |                      | 3635                    |                  |
|   |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/06/2003 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| -   |  |  |                                  |  |  |
|---|--|--|----------------------------------|--|--|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |                                  |  |  |
| Advisory Action   | 09/532,059   | WILLAMS, CHESTE  | RW.                              |  |  |
| Advisory Action   | Examiner   | Art Unit   | 1                                |  |  |
|   | Chi Q Nguyen   | 3635   | 1                                |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap   | pears on the cover sheet   | with the correspondence add  | ress                             |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 05 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | avoid abandonment of t<br>(1) a timely filed amend   | his application. A proper reprenent which places the application   | oly to a<br>cation in            |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR F  | REPLY [check either a) o   | r b)]  |                                  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing da  |  |  | . to later the an                |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra contents. | than SIX MONTHS from the ma<br>AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT<br>date on which the petition under<br>ension and the corresponding ar | ailing date of the final rejection.  HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. S  37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate mount of the fee. The appropriate ext | e extension fee ension fee under |  |  |
| 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | ned statutory period for reply orig<br>months after the mailing date of  | inally set in the final Office action; or the final rejection, even if timely filed,   | (2) as set forth in              |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).  |  |  |                                  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered  |  | isinissai of the appeal.   |                                  |  |  |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require fur  |  | r search (see NOTF below):   |                                  |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note  |  | Scarcif (See NOTE Below),  |                                  |  |  |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application  |  | al by materially reducing or s   | implifying the                   |  |  |
| issues for appeal; and/or   |  | •  |                                  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without cand   | celling a corresponding nu   | imber of finally rejected ciall  | 115.                             |  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>  | ootion(s)  |  |                                  |  |  |
| <ul><li>3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej</li><li>4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would not be a few proposed.</li></ul>  |  | ted in a senarate timely filed   | l amendment                      |  |  |
| canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   |  |  |                                  |  |  |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request application in condition for allowance because:  |  | peen considered but does NC  | OT place the                     |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered to<br>raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | pecause it is not directed   | SOLELY to issues which we  | re newly                         |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims  |  |  | and an                           |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow  | /s:  |  |                                  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |  |  |                                  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |  |  |                                  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1,18,19,24,25 and 30.  |  |  |                                  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |  |                                  |  |  |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on   | is a) ☐ approved or b)[  | disapproved by the Exam  | niner.                           |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Staten  | nent(s)( PTO-1449) Pape  | er No(s).  |                                  |  |  |
| 10. Other:  |  |  |                                  |  |  |
|   | ,  | /m   |                                  |  |  |
| (2-03   | •  | Carl D. Friedman   |                                  |  |  |
| 6-3-03 S. Patent and Trademark Office   | Su <sub> </sub>  | pervisory Patent Examiner Group 3600   |                                  |  |  |

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 009/532,059

Continuation of 2. NOTE: because the applicant cancelled only the non-elective claims (not final rejected claims), and recently adding claims 31-35.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the previous office action is still valid for the proposed amendment.

\_